## CATA Curricular Code Change Proposal

Make a copy of this document. In order to input information.

| Contest: | Floriculture |
| ---: | :--- |
| Proposed by: | Kari Wilterding, Dinuba High School <br> kari.wilterding@dinuba.k12.ca.us |

Issue: (Describe the reason/rationale for the proposed change.)

The reason for the proposed change is to create equity of the arrangements scores from contest to contest. Those that do hold contests strive to make it as close to curricular code as possible. However, there is a vast difference of scores from one contest to another due to personal opinions not design specific skills.

## Please answer yes or no to ALL the questions below.

| This proposal will require a contest to open out of rotation | Yes |
| :--- | :---: |
| The change will affect General Rules | No |
| The change will affect the awards needed. | No |
| The proposed change will affect tabulations/scorecards | Yes-rubrics |
| The proposed change will affect contest forms. | No |
| The proposed change will affect contest hosting site. (e.g. additional <br> facilities, new sections, additional scoring, etc.) | No |

If you answered yes to any of the above questions, you need to include the following signatures: Click here for link to CDE Contest Advisosiandy,Coordinator list.


If you answered yes to any of the above questions, please explain.
*It is highly recommended that you, or a representative, attend the pre-conference governing board meeting to answer any questions regarding proposed curricular code changes to contests that are requested to be opened out of rotation.

The contest will need to be opened out of rotation. The contest tabulations will not change however, the score cards, meaning the rubrics for scoring, will change. There is no additional rooms, cards or materials needed and the judging card will not change.

Description: (Describe what is changing.)

To promote equity of designs from one contest to another, it is proposed to change the vaguely written, current, arrangement score cards to meet the new contest format. This will make it easier for judges to score/judge the arrangements in a faster way as well as provide a standard to judge from across the board. It is proposed that we adopt the Ag Align Tier 2 Certification score cards and modify them the scoring needs. (point value to match current point values. EX: 100 points for each arrangement.

Proposed CATA Code Change: (Only include the section that the proposed change pertains to - do not include the entire contest. Reference numbered section. If editing text, show new text with old text in parenthesis. For large changes, set track changes in the Word document and attach the file, with edits, to this document when submitting.)

## 2022 Floriculture Curricular Code- Currently written score cards that are proposed we change.

XVI. FLOWERS TO WEAR CONSTRUCTION CRITERIA FOR JUDGING

POINTS
A. Design

1. Creativity

60 Points
2. Color 10
3. Texture 10
4. Scale of the design to be worn on the wrist or jacket 10
5. Proportion of materials to each other 10

| B. Construction | 30 Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1. Taping or Gluing Technique | 15 |
| 2. Mechanics in relation to hardgoods | 15 |
| C. Wearability | 10 Points |
| 1. Balance - Physical and Visual | 10 |
| TOTAL | 100 Points |
| XVII. FLOWERS TO CARRY | POINTS |
| A. Design | 70 Points |
| 1. Creativity | 20 |
| 2. Color | 10 |
| 3. Texture | 10 |
| 4. Scale for intended use, provided in scenario | 10 |
| 5. Proportion of materials to each other | 10 |
| 6. Balance (Physical and Visual) | 10 |
| B. Construction | 30 Points |
| 1. Technique of Hardgoods/Finished Binding Point | 15 |
| 2. Mechanics of Fresh Product | 15 |
| TOTAL | 100 Point |
| XVIII. VASE ARRANGEMENT AND DUPLICATE ARRANGEMENT |  |
| VASE ARRANGEMENT | POINTS |
| Mechanics | 15 points |
| Color Harmony and Placement | 15 points |
| Balance and Shape: Visual and Physical | 15 points |
| Proportion and Scale (relation between all elements | 20 points |



| Select appropriate flowers <br> and foliage. | Inappropriate selection of <br> flower and foliage to <br> support the scenario in <br> terms of size or style. | Appropriate flowers and <br> foliage for the scenario <br> were selected in both size <br> and style. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Construct an appropriate <br> design for wearability. | Arrangement lacks physical <br> balance by not sitting <br> evenly and flat when place <br> on the arm or shoulder. <br> Falls out of place, or shifts <br> from the center of the <br> arm/shoulder when worn. | The weight, shape, and <br> construction of the design <br> allows for a wearable <br> product. Arrangement <br> demonstrates physical <br> balance by sitting evenly <br> and flat when placed on the <br> arm. Does not fall out of <br> place, or shift from the <br> center of the arm and <br> shoulder when worn. |
| Demonstrate proper |  |  |
| construction design (Glue | Flowers and foliage are <br> loose within the <br> arrangement. It is likely that <br> the flowers or foliage will fall <br> out with the minimal <br> movement. Glue or wire is <br> visible. | No exposed glue or wire is <br> present. Product is fixed in <br> place. No flowers, foliage, <br> or other elements will fall <br> out with wear. |
| Demonstrate appropriate <br> use of ribbon. | Ribbon is not used within <br> the arrangement. Ribbon <br> deters from the <br> attractiveness of the <br> arrangement or overpowers <br> it. | Ribbon is incorporated as <br> an attractive accent. |

Sub Total: 50 points

## Principles and Elements of Design

| Criteria | No <br> 0 points | Yes <br> (See points below) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale/Proportion | Does not meet one or either criteria <br> 1. The corsage or boutonniere for a petite client exceeds 4 inches or the for a plus size or tall client exceeds 5 inches. <br> OR <br> 2. The filler, greens and ribbon exceed $1 / 2$ inch beyond the flower to the point of covering the flower. | Meets both criterions: <br> 1. The corsage or boutonniere for a petite client exceeds 4 inches or the for a plus size or tall client exceeds 4-5 inches. <br> OR <br> 2. The filler, greens and ribbon exceed $1 / 2$ inch beyond the flower to the point of covering the flower. <br> (30 points) |
| Balance | Arrangement lacks visual balance by not having same visual weight on each side of the central/vertical axis | The arrangement shows visual balance by having the same visual weight on each side of the central/vertical axis. <br> (10 points) |
| Color | The arrangement's color scheme is not identifiable as monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic or seasonal/location. | The color scheme of the arrangement meets the full definition of one of the following color schemes: monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic, or a seasonal/location <br> (10 points) |

Total: 100 points

XVII: Flowers to Carry
Spiral or Parallel Bouquets
Mechanics and Execution of Scenario

| Criteria | $\begin{gathered} \text { No } \\ 0 \text { points } \end{gathered}$ | Yes <br> 10 points |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interpret the scenario provided and construct an appropriate design. | No evidence that the scenario was portrayed with the use of material, design style, and size. | Scenario was portrayed in the use of material, style of design and size. |
| Demonstrate appropriate choice of materials. | Materials used do not represent the scenario. | Materials chosen represent the scenario. |
| Demonstrate proper technique for spiral or parallel stems, as appropriate for the scenario. | Stems are not arranged in the style specified in the scenario. <br> Spiral: One or more stems are crossed | Stems are arranged in either a Spiral or Parallel style according to the style specified in the scenario. |


| Demonstrate Proper processing of stems. | Stems are not cleared of foliage and debris at the binding point and below it. Broken stems are present. | Stems are clear of foliage within the binding point and below it. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demonstrate a secure and exposed binding point. | Binding is loose or lumpy. Binding is made of more than one type of binding material or bind point is covered (unable to judge) | Binding is smooth, tight and free from debris. Bind is made using only of the approved materials. Bind is left uncovered for judging (not camouflaged). |
|  |  | Sub Total: 50 po |
| Principles and Elements of Design |  |  |
| Criteria | No <br> 0 points | Yes <br> (See points below) |


| Balance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Scoring is based on the type of hand-tied bouquet that was designed) | Spiral: Bouquet does not show physical balance as it cannot stand on its own. Bouquet does not show visual balance as it does not have the same weight of | Spiral: Bouquet shows physical balance by standing on its own without falling. Bouquet shows visual balance by having the same weight on all sides of the central axis. |
|  | Parallel: Bouquet does not show visual balance as it is different in size on each side of the central axis. | Parallel: Bouquet shows visual balance by being the same size on each side of the central axis. |
|  |  | (30 points) |
| Color |  |  |
|  | The arrangement's color scheme is not identifiable as monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic or seasonal/location. | The color scheme of the arrangement meets the full definition of one of the following color schemes: monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic, or a seasonal/location |
|  |  | (10 points) |

$\left.\begin{array}{||l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { The design incorporates } \\ \text { three or less unique plant } \\ \text { materials. Or materials } \\ \text { chosen are not } \\ \text { complementary. }\end{array}\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { The design incorporates } \\ \text { four or more different and } \\ \text { complementary plant } \\ \text { materials. }\end{array}\right\}$

Sub Total: 50 points
Total: 100 points

## XVIII: Vase and Duplicate Arrangement

Duplicate Arrangement
Mechanics and Execution of Scenario

| Criteria | NO | YES |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Demonstrates clean and |  |  |
| secured mechanics |  |  |$\quad$| Foam is not secured to the |
| :--- |
| container, Stems are loose |
| within the foam, Mechanics |
| are visible or left uncovered | | Mechanics are secured. |
| :--- |
| Bowl tape is used |
| appropriately and stems are |
| firmly placed in foam. Bowl |
| tape, foam and top of |
| container are camouflaged. |


| Duplicate plant material <br> selection | Incorrect plant material is <br> added to the arrangement, <br> Incorrect number of <br> stems/blooms for each of <br> the variety of plant <br> materials is included. | The exact plant material is <br> used within the duplicate <br> arrangement. The correct <br> number of stems for each <br> flower is used. Filler and <br> greenery mirror the <br> example. No additional <br> plant material is added <br> beyond what is in the <br> sample duplicate. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Duplicate plant material <br> placement | Plant material is not placed <br> in manner consistent with <br> that of the sample provided. <br> Four or more errors in plant <br> material placement. | Plant material is placed in a <br> manner consistent with that <br> of the design sample <br> provided. Three or fewer <br> errors in plant material <br> placement. |

Sub Total: 60 points

Principles and Elements of Design

| Criteria | No | Yes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Duplicate Proportion | Arrangement does not <br> follow the same proportion <br> as demonstrated in the <br> sample. Flowers, filler and <br> greens are not placed the <br> same distance from one <br> another. | Arrangement follows the <br> same proportion as <br> demonstrated in the <br> sample. Flowers, Filler and <br> greens are all the same <br> distance from one another <br> (as shown in the display <br> sample) |

Sub Total: 40 points
Total: 100 points

| Vase Arrangement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mechanics and Execution of Scenario |  |  |
| Criteria | NO <br> 0 Points | YES <br> 20 points |
| Demonstrates clean and secured mechanics | Stems are sticking out of the vase, Mechanics are visible or left uncovereddebris in the water/dirty water. | Mechanics are secured. Top of container are camouflaged. Stems all contained in vase, no debris is the water. |
| Plant material selection | Incorrect number of stems/blooms for each of the variety of plant materials is included. <br> 12 stems of flowers; mass, form or line <br> Appropriate filler and foliage was not used for design construction. Used to little or too much. | The correct number of stems for each flower is used. Filler and greenery are not in excess or to minimal for the arrangements design. |
| Duplicate plant material placement | Plant material is not placed in a manner consistent with that of a 12 stem round bouquet. Four or more errors in plant material placement. | Plant material is placed in a manner consistent with that of the design. Three or fewer errors in plant material placement. |
| Sub Total: 60 points |  |  |


| Criteria | No <br> 0 points | Yes <br> (See points below) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance <br> (Scoring is based on the type of hand-tied bouquet that was designed) | Bouquet does not show physical balance as it cannot stand in its vase. Bouquet does not show visual balance as it does not have the same weight of each side of the central axis | Bouquet shows physical balance by standing in its vase without falling. <br> Bouquet shows visual balance by having the same weight on all sides of the central axis. |
| Color | The arrangement's color scheme is not identifiable as monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic or seasonal/location. | The color scheme of the arrangement meets the full definition of one of the following color schemes: monochromatic, analogous, complimentary, split-complimentary, triad, quadratic, or a seasonal/location |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{||l|l|l|}\hline & & \begin{array}{l}\text { The design incorporates } \\
\text { three or less unique plant } \\
\text { materials. Or materials } \\
\text { chosen are not } \\
\text { complementary. }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}The design incorporates <br>
four or more different and <br>
complementary plant <br>

materials.\end{array}\right\}\) (10 points) |  |
| :--- |

Sub Total: 40 points

Total: 100 points

## Instructions for Submitting Curricular Code Change

- Make sure the form is complete.
- Download and Submit this document as a PDF
- Click File $\rightarrow$ Download $\rightarrow$ Download as a PDF
- If your proposal requires signatures make sure to contact the contest advisor and contest host. Tip: Docusign, Doc Hub are great sources for digital signature requests.
- Click Here for contest Host and Advisor List
- Email completed Curricular Change Proposal PDF to cata@calagteachers.org by June 1st.

Warning: Make sure you add all the topics or concerns you would like to discuss at the Curricular Code CDE meeting. If it's not posted on the CATA Curricular Code Changes website by June 1st, it cannot be discussed.

