

Curricular Code Committee Minutes

06/27/23

Contest:	Impromptu
Chairperson:	Jason Ferreira - Hanford High School
Secretary:	Miles Robinson - Dinuba High School

Call to Order:	9:00am
-----------------------	--------

ACTION: Provide a summary of the main discussion points for each agenda item. Include any decisions, resolutions, or actions taken by the group. Attribute statements or decisions to the appropriate individuals or groups

Motion 1: Move to allow for question at the end of the round. Seconded.

Discussion:

- Not Pass: Designed to get students talking, impromptu to teach how to talk. New rule would add time. Not sure if the contest would be done in time. No way to rate the question from judge.
- Not Pass: Adding too much time with contests with too many student. It would get out late.
- Not Pass: Would add too many canned responses. Not Impromptu
- Not Pass: No example of a score card no example of questions from judge.
- No details about questions, number of questions, how much time
- Previous Q
- Motion failed

Motion 2: Time keeping: Move to propose a time warning system throughout the impromptu contest. Seconded.

Discussion:

- Pass: Things can go wrong or right, no harm in doing this, only helps kids
- Not Pass: Students are already nervous and may be thrown off
- Not Pass: Diminishes the ability to keep an internal clock for a student
- Not Pass: Too short of a round to do this, agrees that this might throw off kids and make them nervous
- Not Pass: Too short of time, not done in other contests that are longer, only done for Parliament Pro, not necessary
- Not Pass; Great for training or field days but not state finals

- Agrees with discussion but then call time at 2. Some kids keep talking or abruptly stop and kids can be deducted based on their answer.
- Not Pass: Decreases the merit of the contest, holds hands too much
- There are some people that pay attention and call time and some that don't it depends on the time keeper.
- Supposed to stop talking at 2 minutes and not even say thank you
- Motion Failed

Motion 3: Strikes/Additions:

Move to approve section 5 sub part b

Scratch ~~current state FFA officer team~~ - add the state contest coordinator

- Pass
- Pass: Makes this more professional
- The state contest coordinator gets to select the questions
- Would practice questions be released - Yes the sectional questions will be passed out
- Region become state, state sectional, rotates, just state become new
- Motion Passed

Number of judges: Move to adopt changes for section 7 sub part c

- Pass: No one can have a qualm about the whole situation
- Pass: Quality over quantity
- Pass: Eliminate the need to tack down so many judges
- Motion Passed

Move to pass section 5 sub part a

- Pass: Makes easier for contests to get done in a timely matter
- Pass: Pass but increases the time for judges that have to be there and more time they have to take off work.
- Judges are limited depending on the section
- Judges can get frustrated for the opposite reason
- Hard to get the large amount of judges
- Want judges to feel good about the contest and come back
- What makes a round? DO kids go out and come back in
- Move to withdraw motion - no objections

Scratch: Final round video of state finals will be made available for viewing/training

Move to approve to section 7 sub section k - seconded with no discussion

- Motion Passed

Adjournment:	9:40am
---------------------	--------